

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/805,323	03/22/2004	Masumitsu Iwata	03500.017969	017969 9102	
5514	7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			LEADER, WILLIAM T		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1742		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-/-
		10/805,323	IWATA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		William T. Leader	1742	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
′=		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits	is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	•	
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· .		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l (d) .
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , ,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			
	application from the International Bureau		·	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d	
		,		
Attachment	d(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •	

Application/Control Number: 10/805,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method, classified in class 205, subclass 199.
 - II. Claims 8-13, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 219, subclass 200.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of the Group II claims can be used in processes other than the process of the Group I claims. For example, the apparatus could be used to heat an anodized aluminum oxide layer.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William T. Leader whose telephone number is 571-272-1245.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays, 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,323 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

いた William Leader December 4, 2006

ROY KING

PLANT PATENT EXAMINER